

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47029 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-163 Year-2022 Thana- BUNIYAD GANJ District- Gaya

1. GULAFSHA PARWEEN ALIAS GULAFSHA PERWEEN ALIAS UMUL KHAIR Wife of Md. Gulam Waris Resident of Village-Salempur, Bhusanda, Nauranga, Manpur, P.S.-Mofassil, District-Gaya.
2. MD. DILKASH ALIAS MD. DILKASH JAMALI Son of Mohammad Rafiqe Alam @ Rafiqe Jamali Resident of Village-Salempur, Bhusanda, Nauranga, Manpur, P.S.-Mofassil, District-Gaya.
3. MD. CHOTU ALIAS MD. CHHOUTU Son of Mohammad Rafique Alam @ Rafiqe Jamali Resident of Village-Salempur, Bhusanda, Nauranga, Manpur, P.S.-Mofassil, District-Gaya, Permanent Resident of Village-Munshi Tola, Post-Hisua, P.S.-Hisua, District-Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                     |   |                                                                |
|---------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioners | : | Mr. Alok Aggarwal, Advocate<br>Mr. Tabish Sharfuddin, Advocate |
| For the State       | : | Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP                                |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      16-08-2023                      This application is filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for enlarging the applicants on anticipatory bail in connection with Buniyadganj P.S. Case No.163 of 2022, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 379, 354 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Heard Mr. Alok Aggarwal, learned advocate for the applicants and Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh1, learned APP for respondent-State.



3. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. He further submits that the applicants will keep themselves available during the course of investigation, trial also and will not flee from justice.

4. Learned advocate for the applicants on instructions states that the applicants are ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for their remand. He further submits that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicants accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open. Learned advocate, therefore, submitted that considering the above facts, the applicants may be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

6. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing



the evidence in detail, at this stage, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicants.

7. This Court has considered following aspects:

(i) Applicant No.1 is daughter-in-law of the first informant of the present FIR in question.

(ii) It is specific case of the Applicant No.1 that she alongwith her family members have falsely been implicated.

(iii) The Applicant No.1 has also filed cross FIR under Sections 341, 323, 504, 379, 498A and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, copy of the said FIR is placed on record at Annexure-3 of the compilation.

(iv) Prior to registration of the said FIR in the year 2022 also, the Applicant No.1 has filed FIR under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code against the first informant of the present case and others and the said proceeding is still pending.

(v) Learned counsel for the applicants has placed on record the order dated 19.05.2023, passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Criminal Miscellaneous No.19656 of 2023 whereby the co-ordinate Bench has considered the case of the accused of the cross FIR. Learned counsel, therefore, urged that the case of the present applicants may also be considered.

8. This Court has also taken into consideration the law



laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.**, reported at **(2011) 1 SCC 694**, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of **Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab**, reported at **(1980) 2 SCC 565**.

9. Accordingly, the applicants, named above, are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Buniyadganj P.S. Case No.163 of 2022, on their executing personal bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with one surety of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., 8<sup>th</sup>, Gaya on the following conditions:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make themselves available for interrogation, whenever required;

(b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 06<sup>th</sup> September, 2023 between 11:00 a.m. and 02:00 p.m.;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police



investigation and not play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(e) shall not leave India without the permission of the concerned trial court and, if having passport, shall deposit the same before the concerned trial court within a week; and

(f) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the competent Court would decide it on merits;

10. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for police remand of the applicants. The applicants shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicants, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other



conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

11. At the trial, the concerned trial court shall not be influenced by the *prima facie* observations made by this Court in the present order.

12. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

**(Vipul M. Pancholi, J.)**

Sanjay/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

