

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3654 of 2008

=====

Shesh Nath Sah, son of Late Ganesh Sah, resident of Raja Bazar, Motihari,
P.S. Motihari, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
2. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Vahwa Saraiya Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Engineer-In-Chief-cum Additional Commissioner-cum-Special Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. Engineer-In-Chief-cum-Additional Commissioner-cum-Special Secretary, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Chief Engineer- N.H.Wing, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
7. The Chief Engineer, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Superintending Engineer, Road, Construction Department, Head Quarter Design Circle, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
9. The Superintending Engineer, Building Construction Circle, Motihari, East Champaran.
10. Dy. Secretary to Government, Department of Building Construction, Bihar, Patna.
11. The Executive Engineer, R.C.D., N.H. Division Motihari, East Champaran.
12. The Executive Engineer, Building Construction Division, Bettiah, West Champaran.
13. The Superintending Engineer, R.C.D., N.H. Circle, Muzaffarpur.
14. Dy. Secretary, R.C.D. Government of Bihar, Patna.
15. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
16. The Under Secretary, Finance (Personal Entitlement Fixation) Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prasen Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Surendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ghosarvey, AC to AAG-3
For the A.G.	:	Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, Sr. Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH



ORAL ORDER

16 12-07-2023 Heard Mr. Prasen Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ghosarvey, learned AC to learned AAG-3 appearing on behalf of the State and Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, learned senior counsel for the Accountant General, Bihar.

2. It has been informed by learned counsel for the State that all the admissible dues payable to the petitioner has been paid and credited into his account after adjusting the amount which he had taken as advance during his service period.

3. Considering the aforesaid information, the present writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file representation in case he finds that certain dues still remains to be paid or up-to-date statutory interest has not been calculated.

4. The representation is directed to be disposed of in accordance with law within a period of six weeks.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/Nilmani

U			
---	--	--	--

