

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21053 of 2014**

=====

Ramu Kumar Yadav Son of Late Ram Surat Yadav Resident of Village-  
Pandey Bhiswa, P.O. and P.S.-Gauri Bazar, District-Deoria U.P..

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Director General of Police, Central Reserve Police Force CRPF, New Delhi.
2. The Deputy Inspector General DIG, Central Recruitment, DTE, CRPF, New Delhi.
3. The Deputy Inspector General, CRPF, Group Centre, Amethi, U.P.
4. The Deputy Inspector General, CRPF, Group Centre, Mokama, Bihar.
5. The Commandant, D/193 Bn, CRPF, Musabani Jharkhand

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                               |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Nityanand Mishra, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Manoj Kumar Singh (CGC)    |
|                      |   | Mr.Ankir Kumar Singh, JC      |
|                      |   | Mr.Prabhat Kumar, JC          |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      16-08-2023                      The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1.a) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing / commanding the respondent concerned to post/appoint the petitioner on the post of Sub-Inspector / GD in CRPF on the basis of result declared as passed in written examination in CRPF LDCE- 2014 as intimated by the DIG, Group Centre, CRPF, Mokama, Bihar (respondent no.4), vide sig. no. R.VII-8/14-CE-VI (LDCE) dated 6.10.2014 as contained in Annexure - 5 to this writ application.*

*b)For further directing/commanding the*



*respondent concerned to consider sympathetically and according to merit the candidature of the petitioner for which the petitioner is entitled to in accordance with law because the matter relates to the life and career of the petitioner. If the candidature of the petitioner may not be considered, then the petitioner will suffers a lot and deprive from his Future career.*

*c)For further directing/commanding the respondent concerned to keep vacant the respective post of the petitioner till the final disposal of this case.*

*d) For any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled to in accordance with law.”*

After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities, in case of any subsisting grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Saurav/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

