

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11309 of 2022

=====

Mohammad Nijamuddin Ansari Son of Late Guljar Hussain, Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.O. Pipra Naurangia, P.S Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. The Collector, West Champaran, Bettiah.
3. The Additional Collector, (Public Grievance Redressal), West Champaran, Bettiah.
4. The Sub -Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Forum, Bettiah, West Champaran.
5. The Circle Officer, Yogapatti, West Champaran.
6. Rajendra Sah Son of Late Harihar Sah, Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.S. - Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.
7. Nageshwar Sah Son of Late Harihar Sah, Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.S. - Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.
8. Moti Sah Son of Late Harihar Sah Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.S. - Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.
9. Binod Sah Son of Late Jagannath Sah, Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.S. - Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.
10. Bunni Lal Sah Son of Late Jagannath Sah, Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.S. - Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.
11. Ramayan Sah Son of Late Jagannath Sah, Resident of Village - Jagiraha, P.S. - Yogapatti, District - West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-05-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this writ petition is being filed for issuance of a direction/ order or an appropriate writ for directing the respondent authorities



namely Respondent nos. 2 and 5 to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner (Annexure-3) in which the private respondent nos. 6 to 11 illegally encroached the public land and due to which the way (Rasta) of petitioner land is closed and also direct the respondent nos. 2 to 5 to remove the respondent nos. 6 to 11 from the illegal encroachment of public land.”

After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

