

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42118 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2022 Thana- CHANPATIA District- West Champaran

SANJU DEVI WIFE OF VIKASH MAHTO RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
SIRISIYA, WARD NO. 06, PS- SIRISIYA OP, DISTT- WEST
CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 42079 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2022 Thana- CHANPATIA District- West Champaran

VIKASH MAHTO SON OF LALBABU MAHTO RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRISIYA, WARD NO 6, PS- SIRISIYA OP, DISTRICT- WEST
CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 42118 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 42079 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307,
379, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.



As per prosecution case, when the informant was selling his wheat, in the meantime his son and daughter-in-law came there and started abusing for their share. It is further alleged that on protest made by the informant, the accused persons, who are named in the FIR started assaulting the informant, due to which he sustained injury and snatched Rs. 10,000/- from the informant's pocket.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to old dispute. They have committed no offence. Petitioners are the son and own daughter-in-law of the informant. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. There is a case and counter case between the parties. Members of both parties have sustained injury as alleged in separate occurrence. Petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition. They are languishing in judicial custody since 10.04.2023.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named



petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned in connection with Chanpatiya P.S. Case No. 225 of 2022.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

