

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41627 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-458 Year-2021 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

HIRA KUMAR @ HIRA PASWAN Son of Ram Raji Paswan Resident of  
ward no. 9, Sahdullapur, P.s. - Sakra, Distt. - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      07-08-2023                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection  
with Hajipur Town P.S. Case No. 458 of 2021 registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 395 and 412 of the Indian  
Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that  
five unknown criminals looted Rs. 1,19,60,777/- from the bank.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next  
submitted that the FIR was against unknown and the name of the  
petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Mukesh in  
police custody which does not have any evidentiary value, it is  
further submitted that petitioner is on an inimical term with



Mukesh on account of which he falsely implicated him by stating in his confession that Rs. 50,000/- was given to the petitioner for providing arms.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and Mukesh was identified in CCTV footage based on which he was arrested thereafter Rs. 8,85,000/- was also recovered from his house which directly connects Mukesh with the offence and it is in the confessional statement of Mukesh that the name of the petitioner transpired that he provided arms in lieu of money. It is thus submitted that it is a case of bank loot and since the name of the petitioner has been disclosed by an accused who was identified in the CCTV footage and even the recovery of looted money was made from his house, as such the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for anticipatory bail is thus rejected.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

