

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10862 of 2022**

Prabhat Kumar Singh Son of Late Ganesh Prasad Singh Resident of Village-Aswari, P.O.- Govindpuri Devi, P.S.- Tisiauta, District- Vaishali at Hajipur, presently posted as Lower Division Clerk, District Social Security Cell, Vaishali, District- Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Vaishali at Hajipur.
6. The Additional District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
7. The Assistant Director, District Social Security Cell, Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Respondents

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyam Kishore Verma, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, AC to GP 4

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      14-03-2023                      After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and the State, this Court is not persuaded to pass any order for the simple reason that the petitioner is not clear in his approach in the matter of reliefs prayed in this Court.

In the writ application, the case of the petitioner is that he is entitled to be considered for promotion to the Upper Division Clerk in the light of Government Notification as contained in Notification No. 821 dated 23.03.2021 but by filing a supplementary affidavit the petitioner has vaguely stated that



there is a scale of Rs. 4000 – 6000/- for the Lower Division Clerk. At the same time, he is also making statement that he was appointed on the post of Lower Division Clerk and is getting the LDC Scale. The statements made in the supplementary affidavit has no coherence with the main relief prayed in the writ application.

This Writ Application has, thus, no merit. It is dismissed but with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the competent authority.

**(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)**

Rajeev/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

