

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1685 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1608 of 2021

=====

Rohit Raj S/o Late Umesh Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Nisihara Tole
Parna, P.S. Biraul, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Pratyay Amrit, the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Dr. Sunil Kumar Jha the Director in Chief (Nursing) Health Service, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Dr. Virendra Kumar Chaudhary the Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah.
4. Dr. S.N. Mahto, the Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Bagha-I, West Champaran.
5. Manish Kumar Jha the Treasury Officer, Bagha, West Champaran.
6. Mr. Pranav Kumar the District Provident Fund Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah.
7. Mr. Praveen Kumar Singh Principal Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ramadhar Singh, GP-25
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Vivekanand Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 22-12-2023 Heard Mr. Shiv Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ramadhar Singh, learned Government Pleader no. 25. Mr. Vivekanand Kumar, learned counsel for the Accountant General, Bihar is also present.

2. At the outset, Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted across the Board that the order of this Court dated 29.11.2022 passed in CWJC No. 1608 of 2021 has been



substantially complied however, certain amounts under the head of GPF for certain period has not been paid but with regard to that the letters have been written by the In-charge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Bagha-1 to the different offices to send the details of deduction under the General Provident Fund from the salary of the petitioners mother and undertaking has been given that on receipt of the necessary information regarding deduction, rest of the admissible amount shall be paid.

3. In view of the undertaking given by the opposite parties, the present contempt petition stands disposed off.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

