

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41319 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2023 Thana- BELA District- Sitamarhi

SUNIL KUMAR SAHNI @ SUNIL SAHNI Son of Ramprit Sahni Resident
of village-Purandaha Rajwada, P.S.-Sonbarsa, District-Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 22-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 364(A), 34, 120(B) of
the Indian Penal Code whereas cognizance has taken u/s 364(A),
302, 120(B), 201/34 of the IPC.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, due to non-fulfillment
of dowry demand, accused persons have committed murder of
the informant's son and with a view to wipe off the evidence,
concealed his dead body.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. There is
no direct evidence against the petitioner. Save and except
confessional statement of accused Ajay Kumar Baitha, nothing



has come against the petitioner to show his complicity in the present case. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and languishing in judicial custody since 23.01.2023.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submitted that there is strong circumstantial evidence against the petitioner and chargesheet has submitted against him.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

