

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.183 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.67 of 2020

=====

Sanjiv Kumar Singh Son of Binod Singh Resident of Village and Post Office-
Sukulwa Khurd, Police Station- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Deepak Kumar, The Chief Secretary of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Chakbaredi Chandragupta Ashokvardhan, The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reform Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Arshad Aziz, District Magistrate, Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.
4. Sri Birendra Prasad, Additional District Magistrate, Land and Revenue Department, District- Gopalganj.
5. Sri Upendra Kumar Pal, The Sub-Divisional Officer (S.D.O.), Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.
6. Sri Ganesh Jha, The Circle Officer, Thawey, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amir Alam, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalit Kishore

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

3 27-06-2023 Heard learned counsels for the respective parties.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instruction, submitted that grievance of the petitioner read with the order of this Court dated 08.01.2020 passed in CWJC No. 67 of 2020 is stated to have been complied in a phased manner. Insofar as cancellation of *Jamabandi* is concerned, it is cancelled on 27.05.2022.



3. In the light of these facts and circumstances, the present MJC/contempt petition does not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the present MJC/contempt petition stands dropped reserving liberty to the petitioner to assail any of the action of the concerned respondent, in accordance with law.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the order of this Court has not been complied.

5. Perusal of the order of this Court, it is only for submission of representation and thereafter, the concerned authority was required to act upon the petitioner's representation. If there is any defect in the order, he has fresh cause of action insofar as challenging the compliance of the order.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

