

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10469 of 2022

-
-
1. Ashok Kumar Son of Late Bhagwat Mehta, resident of Village- Maheshpur, P.O. - Samda, P.S. - Sour Bazar, District - Saharsa.
 2. Nand Kumar Mehta, Son of Late Triveni Mehta, resident of Village - Maheshpur, P.O. - Samda, P.S. - Sour Bazar, District - Saharsa.
 3. Rajendra Prasad Singh Son of Late Rameshwar Prasad Singh, resident of Village - Badiya, P.O.- Balahmpur, P.S. - Bakhtiyapur, District - Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director (Primary Education), Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Saharsa.
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saharsa.
5. The Block Education Officer, Salkhua, Saharsa.
6. The Block Development Officer-cum-Member Secretary, Block Teacher Employment unit, Salkhua, Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Maya Shankar Mishra, Adv. Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Adv.
For the Respondents 1 to 6	:	Mr. Madhukar Mishra (Ac to Sc16)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

3 16-01-2023 1. The petitioner by way of this writ petition has
prayed as under :-

“1. That this is an application for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding the respondents to make payment of salary of petitioners with effect from 11.06.2020 to till date to the petitioner with compound interest as the petitioners have been working as Block Physical Teacher in their allotted schools in view of



order dated 29.08.2019 passed by the learned District Appellate Authority, Saharsa in Appeal No. 01/2018 but till date they have not been paid their salary and/or pass any other order/ orders for which the petitioners are found to be entitled.”

2. Keeping in view the observations made by this Court in **C.W.J.C No. 22186 of 2019 (Pallavi Kumari Vs. State of Bihar & Ors.)** and analogous cases dated 29.11.2022 has held as under:-

“8. Keeping in view above, it is directed that the salary of the teachers shall not be withheld on excuses as above and arrears of salary shall also be released. Fund in this regard shall be made available by the Education Department to the concerned employment units for the purpose of payment. It is made clear that if the arrears are not released within a stipulated period of four months from today, the teachers would be entitled to receive interest on the arrears of the amount of salary at the rate of nine per cent. The interest amount shall be recoverable from the respective District Education Officers/ District Programme Establishment Officer.

9. If the salary/arrears of salary are not released, the concerned teacher would also be entitled to file an appeal before the respective District Appellate Authority. If such an appeal is preferred, the same shall be decided expeditiously within a period of three months. If required, the District Appellate Authority/State Appellate Authority would be also empowered to impose penalty in terms of Rule 16 of the Rules of 2020 which provides as under:

“16. Power to impose Punishment:-
In case of non-compliance of the



order/direction or in case of any complaints by the party for compliance of the order:-

(i) The Appellate Authority shall impose punishment against-concerned party but he will be given adequate opportunity of hearing before imposing punishment.

(ii) The Appellate Authority may impose penalty upto Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty thousand only) upon the answerable party. The amount of penalty shall be deposited in the Treasury under the head indicated by the Department. The amount of penalty shall be recoverable by way of Public demand.

(iii) The Appellate Authority shall have jurisdiction to make recommendation to the concerned Department to initiate Departmental proceeding or to take necessary action against the delinquent employee under the provisions of Bihar Service Code/Bihar Panchayat Raj Act 2006/Bihar Municipal Act 2007 and other relevant provisions.”

3. Keeping in view above, the same directions are held to be applicable in the present case *mutatis mutandis*.

4. The writ petition is allowed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Sachin/-
Item No. 19

U			
---	--	--	--

