

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11869 of 2019

=====

Urmila Devi W/o Late Aditya Singh Vill.- Narhat, P.s.- Narhat, P.s.- Narhat,
Distt.- Nawada, at present Ex-Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Narhat, Block-
Narhat, Distt.-Nawada Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna
 2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector Nawada
 3. The District Panchayat Raj Officer Nawada, Distt.- Nawada
 4. The Deputy Development Commissioner Nawada
 5. The Block Development Officer Block- Narhat, Distt.- Nawada
- Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 22-06-2023 Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner in the presence of learned counsel for the State

concedes that although there were points in the writ petition but

with the passage of time, the same has become infructuous and

as such he may be given liberty to pursue the remedy as and

when required.

In view of the fair submission put forward by the

learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition stands

disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

