

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40098 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-944 Year-2022 Thana- SARAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

SAGAR DAS Son of Late Sita Ram Das Resident of Village - Kolhua,
Chhapatwa, P.S.- Saraiya, Distt.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hari Kishore Thakur

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Kishor Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 21-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case instituted
for the offence under Sections 302, 304B, 201/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner along with others
is of killing the daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of
further dowry demand.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has
committed no offence. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased.
He submitted that there is no consistent evidence has come against
the petitioner. Marriage was solemnized between the deceased and
the petitioner about 15 years ago and petitioner is blessed with



three children out of the deceased's wedlock. He further submitted that most of the prosecution evidence has been examined during trial and the trial is likely to be concluded. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 30.12.2022.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail and submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and he has full responsibility to keep his wife (deceased) with full honour and dignity. During investigation several witnesses have also supported the prosecution case.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within four months, without fail, failing which the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

