

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10312 of 2022

=====

Meera Kumari Wife of Sri Dharamendra Kumar, resident of Mohalla - Patel
Nagar, Ward No. 17, Naya Bazar, P.S. - Lakhisarai, District - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Education Department,
New Secretariat, Patna.
2. Director, (Primary Education), Education Department, New Secretariat,
Patna.
3. District Education Officer, Lakhisarai, District - Lakhisarai.
4. District Programme Officer (Establishment), Education Department, District
- Lakhisarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pratap Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, AC to SC 16)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 09-05-2023 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed present application for quashing letter dated 04.05.2022 *vide* Memo No.1177 dated 04.05.2022 (Annexure-7) whereby the service of the petitioner was dispensed with/terminated on the ground that the Education Department *vide* its Memo No. 534 dated 23.11.2017 de-recognized the certificates issued by Chhotanagpur Primary Teachers Training College, Ranchi prior to 1986-1988.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated persons who also passed from the said college and whose services were terminated on the same ground



approached this Court in CWJC No. 21397 of 2018 along with analogous case (Annexure-6) and this Court *vide* its order dated 21.02.2022 allowed the writ applications and set aside the order of termination with further direction that the writ petitioners would be entitled for reinstatement with continuity of service and would also be entitled for their salary for the entire period and other consequential benefits.

4. Learned counsel submits that the case of the petitioner is fully covered by the judgment passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court as annexed as Annexure-6 to the writ petition.

5. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and also agrees that the case of the petitioner is covered by the judgment relied upon by the learned counsel for the petitioner in CWJC No. 21397/2018.

6. In view of the aforesaid submission, the instant writ application is disposed exactly in terms of order dated 21.02.2022 passed in CWJC No. 21397/2018. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 04.05.2022 *vide* Memo No.1177 (Annexure-7) is set aside with similar directions issued by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWJC No. 21397/2018.



7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

