

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39289 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2022 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

ADITYA KUMAR SON OF RAM PRAVESH PANDIT R/O VILLAGE-
MALAW, P.S.- DARIGAON, DISTRICT- ROHTAS

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 53895 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2022 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

ABHISHEK KUMAR S/o Late Arun Paswan Resident of Village- Bahera,
P.S.- Belaw (Kaimur), District- Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 60187 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2022 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

BEDI KUMAR Son of Binod Paswan R/v- Chanwakh, P.S.- Kudra, District-
Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 39289 of 2022)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vishwajeet Kumar Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madhuri Lata
For the Informant : Mr.Rajani Kant Singh
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 53895 of 2022)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Parwej Khan
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 60187 of 2022)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shankar Kumar
Mr.Vikram Deo Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar No. 1



identified, which falsifies the allegation levelled against him.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the informant as well as the learned APP have opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the witnesses in paragraph nos. 37, 40, 43 and 45 of the case diary have supported the occurrence and have named the petitioners in the alleged occurrence. It was detected that during course of investigation, co-accused have demanded ransom of Rs. 1.45 crores from the informant. Petitioner Aditya Kumar has also a criminal antecedent. He has submitted further that the victim has named the petitioners in his statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C.

In my view, the petitioners do not deserve the privileges for bail, which is hereby rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioners within the stipulated time mentioned hereinabove, failing which, the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

