

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48327 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2392 Year-2022 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

=====

Ravi Kumar, Gender-Male, aged about 34 years, S/O Dinesh Prasad R/O The area of Agarpur (Chakbandi Road Lalganj), P.O- Lalganj, P.S- Lalganj, Distt.- Vaishali, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sweta Joshi D/O Nagendra Joshi aka Nagendra Prasad R/O the area Maker Bazar (Nepal Medical Hall), P.O- Maker, P.S- Maker, Distt.- Saran, State- Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Vikram Rana, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

6 19-12-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State but none appeared on behalf of the O.P. No.2.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 2392 of 2022, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498A of the Indian Penal Code.



4. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of Rs. 20 lacs and a four wheeler as dowry. It is further alleged that the accused persons ousted the complainant from the house and snatched all her belongings.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and he has no concern with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that the complainant has an illicit relationship with another man and she wants to live with that man that's why the complainant filed a false case against the petitioner. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."** Learned



counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023**. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Complaint Case No. 2392 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.



8. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

9. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

