

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39456 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-374 Year-2020 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

RAVI CHAUHAN @ RAVI Son of Ram Bharose Chauhan R/o-
Companybagh, P.S.- Manipur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mithilesh Kr. Arya

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 09-01-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case instituted
for the offence under Section 302/304(B), 120(B)/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

This case relates to torture and harassment by the
petitioner to the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of
dowry demand and ultimately petitioner in connivance with his
family members, killed her.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
has submitted that petitioner is husband of the informant's
daughter and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has
never demanded any thing from the deceased. No one is eye
witness of the case. General and omnibus allegations have been



levelled against the petitioner. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that other co-accused of the present case have already been enlarged on bail by different co-ordinate Benches vide order dated 14.10.2020 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 36565 of 2020 and 13.10.2020 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 32409 of 2020. Petitioner is in custody since 24.06.2020.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant and submitted that petitioner is husband of the deceased and he is responsible for his death. It reveals from postmortem report, that cause of death due to haemorrhage and shock leading to cardiac failure caused by penetrating wound over abdomen by a knife.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that it is a case of dowry death and serious in nature, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

