

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9105 of 2023**

=====

Mahanth Ram Shobhit Das S/o Late Guru Mahanth Sudama Das, Resident of  
Village-Kansari Kabir Math, P.S.-Gaurichak, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
3. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The Additional Collector, Patna.
5. The Circle Officer, Punpun, District-Patna.
6. The Chairman/President, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, Vidyapati Marg, P.S.-Kotwali, District- Patna-800001.
7. Manbhang Das, Kabir Panthi Math, Chaknuri, P.S.-Malsalami, District-Patna.
8. Mahanth Jhulan Das, Pupil of Late Mahanth Nirmal Das, Kabir Math Kansari, Beldarichak, P.S.-Gaurichak, P.O.-Andari, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                            |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Indu Bhushan, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-12-2023                      Heard Mr. Indu Bhushan, learned Counsel appearing  
  
on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, learned  
  
GP-18 appearing on behalf of the respondent/s.

2. The petitioner has claimed for following reliefs in  
  
paragraph no.1 of the writ petition:-

*“That this writ application is directed for  
issuance of an appropriate in the nature of  
certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated  
06.01.2023 (contained in Annexure-14) passed by  
the Respondent No.6, whereby and where under the  
Respondent No.6 in arbitrary manner and on the*

*basis of wrong facts declared the Respondent No.7 as Mahanth of Kabir Panthi Math, Chaknuri, Patna City, P.S.-Malsalami, District-Patna as petitioner has been Mahanth of the aforesaid Math without any obstacle and Jhulan Das was never Mahanth of said Math and Manbhant Das was never appointed as Mahanth after Jhulan Das.”*

3. The writ petition appears to be premature, as would appear from order dated 06.01.2023, by which the petitioner was directed to produce the documents in support of his claim and considering the nature of relief(s), as sought for in paragraph no.1. The petitioner may avail remedy before the Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, in accordance with the provisions of the Bihar Hindu Religious Trust Act, 1950.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Purnendu Singh, J.)**

Chn/-  
Ashishsingh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|