

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47158 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2023 Thana- TEGHRHA District- Begusarai

Vinod Sahani @ Vinod Sahni S/O Anirudh Sahani @ Anrudh Sahni R/O  
Village- Nonpur, P.S- Taghra, Distt.- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Meena Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-10-2023                      Heard Mr. Shashank Shekhar, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and Mrs. Meena Singh, learned Additional Public  
  
Prosecutor for the State.

2. Defect no. (6) be ignored.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in  
connection with Teghra P.S. Case No. 92 of 2023 F.I.R. dated  
06.03.2023 registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 30(a) and 30(c) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act,  
2018.

4. Recovery is of 51 litres of illicit country made  
liquor.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been implicated  
in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as



alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. and from persual of the F.I.R. it appears that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather recovery has been made from a bamboo orchard behind the house of one Laxman Pandit and he has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of secret information and the police disclosed the name of the petitioner. Therefore, the recovery cannot be attributed to the petitioner. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr. P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

7. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089. Having regard to the law laid



down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of secret information and the petitioner having clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order. be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Judge-I, Begusarai in connection with Teghra PS. Case No. 92 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.



2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Ibrar//-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

