

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41332 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2022 Thana- ANGARGHAT District- Samastipur

Satyam Kumar Son Of Sanjay Kumar @ Sanjay Mahto Resident Of Village-
Khokhsahas, Ps- Bibhutipur, Distt- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mahendra Pratap

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Navin Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 23-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 395, 397, 307, 412,
120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, while the informant
was coming to his house at around 7.30 p.m. after collecting
cash of Rs. 60,000/- with other staffs and reached near
Angarghat Chowk, three miscreants on a motorcycle came there
and on gun point looted his collected cash and an amount of Rs.
9500/- from his both pockets and a gold chain. When the
villagers tried to catch the miscreants, one of the miscreants
opened fire as a result of which Monu Kumar received gun shot
injury. It is further alleged that two of the miscreants were



apprehended by the villagers including the petitioner.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No looted article has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 10.02.2023.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submitted that petitioner was apprehended by the villagers on spot and they handed him over to the police. Looted articles, which relates to this case, were recovered from possession of the petitioner. He has criminal antecedents of two cases.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

