

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40718 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-267 Year-2022 Thana- PATEPUR District- Vaishali

1. HARINDRA SAHNI @ HARINDAR SAHNI @ HARENDRA SAHANI  
Son of Late Ramdeo Sahani Resident of Village - Bardiha Turki, P.S.-  
Patepur, District - Vaishali.
2. Durgi Devi @ Durga Devi Wife of Harindra Sahni @ Harindar Sahni  
Resident of Village - Bardiha Turki, P.S.- Patepur, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      19-07-2023      Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to remove defect (s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of four weeks on resumption of physical mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P for the State.

3. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Patepur P.S. Case No. 267 of 2022 dated 07.10.2022 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 304B and 201 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and ¾ of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and the co-accused persons are alleged to have committed murder of the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner no. 1 is the father-in-law of the deceased and the petitioner no. 2 is the mother-in-law of the deceased. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners have neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the deceased. The petitioners have clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioners are in custody since 24.04.2023.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Patepur P.S. Case No. 267 of 2022.

8. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

guddukr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

