

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.373 of 2021

=====

Seema Kumari Wife of Surendra Ram, Resident of Village - Bhashai, P.S.
Manza, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Gopalganj.
5. The District Welfare Officer, Gopalganj.
6. The Block Development Officer, Manza Block, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Srivastava
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

7 06-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner claims to have passed degree of
“Vidyavinodani” an equivalent degree of matriculation from
Prayag Mahila Vidypith, Allahabad.

It is submitted that the petitioner's qualification was
not recognized when the petitioner's submitted her candidature
for the post of Vikash Mitra. It is submitted that refusal to
honour the petitioner's qualification is in teeth of the resolution
of the General Administration Department dated 01-10-2019



(Annexure-10).

The submission of the petitioner's counsel is untenable. The decision to recognize the qualifications obtained from open schools is of October, 2019 whereas, the petitioner's candidature was rejected much prior thereto in march 2019.

The respondent Nos.3 to 6 have filed a counter affidavit. Stand of the respondents in para 13, with respect to the petitioner's specific qualification, is as follows:-

“13. That in reply to the statement made in para 11 of the writ petition, it is stated that the degree obtained by the open school is valid but the Prayag Mahila Vidyapith, Allahabad not under open school constituted by the Government.”

The said assertion is not denied or disputed by filing any rejoinder.

For the reasons, noted above, no right is made for the petitioner to assert her qualification to be a recognized one in the process of selection.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

