

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1024 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12467 of 2017

=====

Subodh Kumar Singh Son of Sri Uday Shankar Prasad Singh Resident of
Village and P.O. Rampur Bakhri, P.S.- Dumra, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Sri Anjani Kumar Singh, Presently Posted As
The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna. (Son of not known to the
petitioner).
2. Sri Amrit Lal Mina, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as
the Principal Secretary, Cooperative Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
3. Sri Rajiv Raushan, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as
the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi, District Sitamarhi.
4. Sri Satyendra Prasad, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as
the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.
5. Sri Santosh Kumar Singh, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently
posted as the Circle Officer, Dumra, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 20-04-2023

We have heard Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, learned
Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar for the
State.

It has been submitted on behalf of the opposite
party/State that pursuant to the directions issued *vide*



order dated 29.08.2017 passed in CWJC No. 12467 of 2017, Encroachment Case No. 7 of 2017-2018 has been instituted.

He further submits that according to the report of the Revenue Karmchari, the encroachment as alleged by the petitioner has already been removed.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, learned Advocate for the petitioner, however, contends that no order passed in the Encroachment Case No. 7 of 2017-2018 has been brought on record.

The purpose of passing the direction was to remove the encroachment after taking necessary legal steps.

Since the Encroachment Case was initiated and the encroachment has been removed, we are of the view that there has been total compliance of the order passed by this Court.



We, thus, are of the considered view that there no purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending.

As such, the petition is consigned and disposed of accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

krishna/manoj

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.04.2023
Transmission Date	NA

