

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1605 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20038 of 2019

=====

Jagannath Singh Son of Late Shyam Lal Singh Resident of Village Tetri
(Vastu Bihar) Sector 08, P.O. - Sasaram, District- Rohtas (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Tripurari Sharan, the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna, Bihar.
2. Col. Dilip Prasad SM (Retired), the Director, Sainik Kalyan Nideshalaya, Main Secretariate, Block- 2, 2nd Floor, Patna (Bihar) - 800015.
3. Sri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, the Commissioner Patna Pramandal, Patna (Bihar).
4. Sri Dharmendra Kumar, the District Magistrate, District - Rohtas (Bihar) - 821115.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ful Man Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manish Kumar, GP 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY)

Date : 04-09-2023

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State of Bihar.

2. The instant contempt application has been filed alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 11.12.2020 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 20038 of 2019. The writ application was filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to establish the District Soldier Board in the District of Rohtas.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking



note of the contents of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State in the writ application that the District Soldier Board stands constituted in the District of Rohtas at Sasaram and is fully functional, the writ application was disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority ie the Director, State Sainik Board near Lalit Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna for venting out his additional grievances, if any.

4. Pursuant to the order dated 11.12.2020 (Annexure-1) passed by this Court, the petitioner filed a representation dated 29.7.2021 (Annexure-2) before the opposite party nos. 2 to 4 along with a copy of the order dated 11.12.2020. The petitioner claims that he also approached the office of the opposite parties time and again but his grievances have not been redressed.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the material on record, it transpires from the contents of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State of Bihar in the writ application that District Sainik Board, Rohtas at Sasaram stood constituted on 25.2.2020. Further from the contents of the representation dated 29.7.2021 (Annexure-2) of the petitioner, this Court does not find any grievance having been raised by the petitioner therein except a bald statement having been made therein to the effect that the order dated



11.12.2020 be implemented.

6. At the cost of repetition it may be stated that from the contents of the order dated 11.12.2020 it is evident that the only grievance of the petitioner was with respect to the constitution of the District Sainik Board in the District of Rohtas which already stands constituted.

7. The Court finds no substance in the instant contempt application and the same is dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	8.09.2023
Transmission Date	

