

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.68 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1416 of 2020

=====

Kaushlendra Kumar S/o Late Sindhu Sharma R/o Village- Murasa, P.O.-
Paigambarpur, P.S. and Prakhand- Kako, District- Jehanabad working as clerk
in High School- Ghoshi, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director (Secondary Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna, Sri Giriwar Dayal Singh, aged about not known, S/o not known
2. Sri Giriwar Dayal Singh S/o not known The Director, Secondary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. Sri Kameshwar Kamti S/o not known The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Gaya
4. Sri Ram Sagar Prasad Singh S/o not known The District Education Officer, Jehanabad
5. Sri Nishant Gunjan S/o not known The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rama Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore (Ag)
For the State	:	Mr. Madhav Pd. Yadav, GP-23
	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, AC to GP-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 28-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the State filed a show cause. The
learned counsel for the State submits that the order passed by
the Writ Court stands complied and the relief which the
petitioner was claiming has been granted.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till
today the petitioner has not received any monetary benefit and



thus seeks time to file a rejoinder to the show cause.

The learned counsel for the State submits that the relief has been granted to the petitioner by an order dated 10.04.2023, it is next submitted that within 15 days from today the benefits will be granted to the petitioner in terms of the order dated 10.04.2023.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the State, the Court is not inclined to proceed with the contempt application.

The contempt application is thus disposed of. However, the same would not preclude the petitioner from filing second contempt application, in the event, if the benefit so granted by an order dated 10.04.2023 is not made available to the petitioner within a period of one month from today.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

