

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8969 of 2018

=====

Kumar Gauri Shankar ,Son of Sri Ganesh Yadav, Resident of Sohjana More,
P.S. Jhajha, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the General Manager, E.C., Railway Hazipur.
2. The General Manager E.C. Railway, Hazipur.
3. The Chief Commercial Manager, E.C. Rly, Hazipur.
4. The Divisional Rail Manager, Danapur.
5. The Sr. Divisional Commercial Manager, E.C. Rail, Danapur.
6. The A.D.R.M., E.C. Railway, Danapur.
7. The D.C.M., E.C. Railway, Danapur.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8823 of 2018

=====

Dharamveer Kumar Singh son of Ravindra Prasad, resident of Trust Colony
Malaypur, Malaypur, P.S. Malaypur, District- Jamui Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Ministry of Railway.
2. The Divisional Railway Manager, Danapur Division, Danapur.
3. The Senior Divisional Manager Commercial, Danapur.
4. The Divisional Commercial Manager, Danapur.
5. The Account Officer, Commercial, Danapur.
6. The State of Bihar through Superintendent of Police, Jamui.
7. The Dy. S.P. Jamui.
8. The S.H.O. Malaypur P.S., Malaypur, District- Jamui.
9. Kumar Gauri Shankar, son of Ganesh Yadav, resident of Sahajana More, P.S. Jamui, District- Jamui.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :



(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8969 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Sr. Panel Counsel
Mr. Radhika Raman, CGC

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8823 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Raghwanand, GA-11
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

5 18-04-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing for some time seeks permission to withdraw these petitions.

2. Permission is accorded.

3. Accordingly, both the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn reserving liberty to each of the petitioner to invoke appropriate remedy insofar as resolving the disputed issues in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that certain money has been retained by the respondents. The same shall be considered and released to the petitioners by the concerned respondent along with interest @ 12% per annum. In this regard, if the respondents fail to take any decision, in that event, the petitioners are permitted to invoke remedy before appropriate forum including filing of suit for damages against concerned respondent.



5. With the above observations, both the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

