

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2613 of 2018**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16806 of 2015**

=====

Udit Sharma Son of Late Awadh Sharma, resident of village- Lat, P.O. and  
P.S.- Hulashganj, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Collector, Jehanabad, Namely Sri Alok Ranjan Ghos
2. The Collector, Aurangabad, namely Sri Rahul Ranjan Mahiwal
3. The Collector, Gaya namely -Abhishak Singh
4. The Collector, Arwal namely - Sri Satish Kumar Singh
6. The Director, Directorate, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, naely Sri Dilip Kumar
7. The District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad namely - Sudhir Kumar
8. The Block Development Officer, Rafiganj, District- Aurangabad Guljari Kumar Pandit
9. The Block Development Officer, Barachatty, District- Gaya, namely - Sri Pranav Kumar Giri
10. The Block Development officer, Town Block, District- Gaya- Amit Kumar
11. The Block Development officer, Arwal, District- Arwal- Arvind Kumar singh
12. The Block Development Officer, Kajra, Jehanabad, Nawkanj Kumar
13. The Treasury Officer, Jehanabad Chandan Kumar
14. The Director General of Vigilance, Bihar, Patna, namely Susil Kumar Jha

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                      |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Advocate       |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Rastogi, AAG- 10      |
|                          |   | Mr. Sushil Kumar Singh, AC to AAG-10 |
| For the Vigilance        | : | Mr. Anil Singh, Law Officer, V.I.B   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      10-08-2023                      Heard the parties.

The MJC No. 2613 of 2018 has been preferred for  
compliance of order dated 09.05.2018 passed in CWJC No.



16806 of 2015.

The writ Court had taken note of the fact that the grievance raised in the writ petition was already redressed and thereafter by way of supplementary affidavit, another set of grievance was brought on record which was directed to be taken note of and the admitted dues to be paid accordingly.

A show cause on behalf of the opposite party no. 6 and 7 namely, the Director, Directorate, Provident Fund, Patna and the District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad is/are on record. As per paragraph 12, the petitioner has already been paid the GPF amount and it was further averred that in case after the availability of T.V number and date, it comes to light that some more amount remains, the same shall be paid.

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that around Rs. 56,000/- still has to be paid.

He will be approaching the opposite party no. 6, the Director, Directorate, Provident Fund, Patna with all the relevant documents on 24.08.2023 at 12:00 P.M. and submit the same. Thereafter, the opposite party no. 6 shall be duty bound to detail out whether the petitioner still has some amount to be paid or not.

The opposite party no. 6 shall ensure that the entire



process stands completed within four weeks from 24.08.2023.

The MJC No. 2613 of 2018 stands disposed of with  
aforesaid observation.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

kiran/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

