

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.476 of 2021

=====

Puja Kumari, Daughter of Sri Ram Ekbal Thakur, Resident of Village- Sareya Ward No.2, North of Ganga Nursery, P.S. Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj, Presently posted as Prakhand Sadhan Sevi Mid Day Meal (MDM) under Gopalganj Block, Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Mid Day Meal (M.D.M.), Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Gopalganj.
4. The District Programme Officer (M.D.M.), Gopalganj.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Gopalganj.
6. District Education Officer, Gopalganj.
7. Assistant Godown Manager (A.G.M.), Gopalganj.
8. The Block Education Officer, Gopalganj.
9. The Officer-in-charge, Nagar Thana Gopalganj.
10. Sri Nityanand Bhakt, Vijaypur Prakhand Sadhan Sevi (M.D.M.), Gopalganj.
11. Amit Kumar, Lekhapal, District Office Mid Day Meal (M.D.M.), Gopalganj.
12. Amod Kumar Manjhi ,Sadhan Sevi.
13. Arun Kumar Singh, District Co-ordinator (M.D.M), Gopalganj.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shambhu Sharan Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Arbind Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-17
For Respondent (M.D.M.)	:	Mr. Girish Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

6 24-03-2023 In this case, learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the defects, if any within two weeks.

Subject to the above undertaking, this Court has heard
learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

It appears that the petitioner in this case has



challenged the transfer order as contained in letter no. 541 dated 19.09.2020 issued by the District Programme Officer, Gopalganj. In the writ application, there is also a prayer to direct the respondents to take action against the Private Respondent Nos. 11, 12 and 13, who are said to have acted in violation of the guidelines issued to the department concerned in the matter of protection of workmen from Sexual Assault Act, 2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already joined the transferred place and she is working there for the last more than 2 years.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, in the opinion of this Court, the writ application has become infructuous. It is dismissed as having become infructuous.

So far as the other prayers are concerned, this Court would not make any observation at this stage. The petitioner if so advised, may pursue her remedy in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

lata/-

U			
---	--	--	--

