

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37426 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2022 Thana- RAHIKA District- Madhubani

-
1. Rani Devi Wife Of Shekhar Ray Resident Of Village- Saurath, Ward No. 8, Ps- Rahika, District- Madhubani
 2. Shekhar Ray Son Of Shivji Ray Resident Of Village- Saurath, Ward No. 8, Ps- Rahika, District- Madhubani
 3. Rahul Kumar Ray @ Rahul Ray Son Of Shekhar Ray Resident Of Village- Saurath, Ward No. 8, Ps- Rahika, District- Madhubani
 4. Rajeev Kumar Ray Son Of Shekhar Ray Resident Of Village- Saurath, Ward No. 8, Ps- Rahika, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagandeo Yadav Mr. Ravi Prakash Mr. Rajesh Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this application with regard to petitioner no. 2.

2. Permission is granted.

3. Accordingly, this application with regard to petitioner no. 2 is dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State for consideration with regard to rest of the petitioners.

5. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a



case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 327, 324, 307, 341, 354, 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

6. As per FIR, petitioners assaulted with Kulhari on the neck of Nitish Kumar.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that there is case and counter case between the parties and both sides have sustained injury. He submits that there is no specific overt act against the petitioners. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioners. He further submits that petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of this application.

8. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner nos. 1, 3 and 4 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rahika P.S. Case



No. 210 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under
Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

