

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.17 of 2021

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.332 of 2018

=====

Rajkishore Manjhi S/o Late Ram Kripal Manjhi @ Kripal Manjhi R/o At and
P.o.- Bethari, P.s.- Taraiya, Dist.- Saran, presently residing at Jagan Chapra,
P.o.- Mirzapur, P.s.- Marhawarah, Dist.- saran (Chapra)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development , Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Accountant General (A and E) Bihar, Veer Chand Patel Path, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra
4. The District Provident Fund Officer, Saran at Chapra
5. The Treasury Officer, Saran at Chapra
6. The Circle Officer, Traiya, Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalit Kishore (Ag)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

4 08-11-2023 The present Civil Review petition stands dismissed in
the light of previous order dated 11.10.2023.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that yesterday he is stated to have filed Interlocutory Application for extension of time. Question of extension of time is not warranted, Interlocutory Application should have been filed for recalling and then seeking for extension of time.



3. Accordingly, Interlocutory Application stated to have been filed for extension of time stands rejected.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

abhishekk/-

U			
---	--	--	--

