

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36910 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-578 Year-2021 Thana- MAJHAULIA District- West Champaran

Bhuvan Yadav @ Bhuwar Yadav Son Of Dukhi Yadav R/O- Vill- Bahuarwa  
Bairathpur, Purwari Tola, P.S.-MAJHAULIA, Dist.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sachida Nand Rai

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      03-01-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner has filed the instant application  
for grant of regular bail in a case registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 304(B), 201/34 of  
the Indian Penal Code.

It is a case of dowry death due to non  
fulfillment of dowry demand of Rs. 50,000/-

It is submitted by learned counsel for the  
petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in  
this. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 08.12.2021. It  
is further submitted that the name of petitioner has



sprang up in this case on the basis of suspicion. It is further submitted that petitioner is blessed with 3 children from the deceased. At the time of occurrence, the petitioner was not present, as he used to reside outside State for earning his livelihood.

Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner, being the husband of the deceased, is fully responsible for maintaining his wife. Witnesses have also supported the prosecution version, during investigation.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within a period of six months.

However, if the trial of the petitioner is not



concluded within a period of six months, he would be at  
liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

nirajkrs/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

