

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36696 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2023 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District- Begusarai

1. MD. SAJAN S/O MD. MANJOOR R/O Village. Faizpur, PS. Sahebpur Kamal, Dist. Begusarai.
2. MD. JAVED @ MD. ZAWED S/O MD. MANJOOR R/O Village. Faizpur, PS. Sahebpur Kamal, Dist. Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2023 This application is filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for enlarging the applicants on anticipatory bail in connection with Sahebpur Kamal @ S. Kamal P.S. Case No.36 of 2023, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 427, 379 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Heard Mr. Anuj Kumar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, learned APP for respondent-State.

3. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the nature of allegations are such for which custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. He further submits



that the applicants will keep themselves available during the course of investigation, trial also and will not flee from justice.

4. Learned advocate for the applicants on instructions states that the applicants are ready and willing to abide by all the conditions including imposition of conditions with regard to powers of Investigating Agency to file an application before the competent Court for their remand. He further submits that upon filing of such application by the Investigating Agency, the right of applicants accused to oppose such application on merits may be kept open. Learned advocate, therefore, submitted that considering the above facts, the applicants may be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

6. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, nature of allegations, gravity of offences, role attributed to the accused, without discussing the evidence in detail, at this stage, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicants.

7. This Court has considered following aspects:



(i) For the alleged incident which took place on 20.01.2023, FIR is lodged on 08.02.2023 thus, there is delay of 19 days in lodging the FIR.

(ii) The role attributed to the applicants.

(iii) The medical certificate of the injured from which it appears that injury sustained by the injured is simple in nature.

(iv) There is no antecedent reported against the applicants.

(v) Custodial interrogation of the applicants is not required in the facts of the present case.

8. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.**, reported at **(2011) 1 SCC 694**, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of **Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab**, reported at **(1980) 2 SCC 565**.

9. Considering the punishment prescribed for the alleged offences, the applicants, named above, are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Sahebpur Kamal @ S. Kamal P.S. Case No.36 of 2023, on their executing personal bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand



Only) each with one surety of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-IV, Begusarai on the following conditions:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make themselves available for interrogation, whenever required;

(b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 21th August, 2023 between 11:00 a.m. and 02:00 p.m.;

(c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

(e) shall not leave India without the permission of the concerned trial court and, if having passport, shall deposit the same before the concerned trial court within a week; and

(f) it would be open to the Investigating Officer to file an application for remand if he considers it proper and just and the competent Court would decide it on merits;

10. Despite this order, it would be open for the Investigating Agency to apply to the competent Magistrate, for



police remand of the applicants. The applicants shall remain present before the learned Magistrate on the first date of hearing of such application and on all subsequent occasions, as may be directed by the learned Magistrate. This would be sufficient to treat the accused in the judicial custody for the purpose of entertaining application of the prosecution for police remand. This is, however, without prejudice to the right of the accused to seek stay against an order of remand, if, ultimately, granted, and the power of the learned Magistrate to consider such a request in accordance with law. It is clarified that the applicants, even if, remanded to the police custody, upon completion of such period of police remand, shall be set free immediately, subject to other conditions of this anticipatory bail order.

11. At the trial, the concerned trial court shall not be influenced by the *prima facie* observations made by this Court in the present order.

12. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

(Vipul M. Pancholi, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

