

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3397 of 2018

1. Lal Bahadur Sah and Anr S/o late Shyam Sundar Sah Resident of Village-Khutia, P.S. Mansi, District- Khagaria.
2. Sunil Kumar Singh S/o late Janardan Prasad Singh Resident of Village-Asurari, P.S. Alauli, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Khagaria.
5. The Panchayati Raj Officer, Khagaria.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Khagaria Sub-Division District-Khagaria.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Gogari Sub-Division, District- Khagaria.
8. The Block Development Officer, Khagaria Block, District- Khagaria. null null
9. The Block Development Officer, Alauli, District- Khagaria.
10. The Block Development Officer, Chautham, District- Khagaria.
11. The Block Development Officer, Parbatta, District- Khagaria. null null
12. The Block Development Officer, Gogaria, District-Khagaria.
13. The Block Development Officer, Beldaur, District- Khagaria.
14. The Block Development Officer, Mansi, District- Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Archana Meenakshee- GP. 6

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 19-09-2023 No one appears on behalf of the petitioners though

Mrs. Archana Meenakshee, learned Government Pleader no. 6 is

present.

2. This writ application has been preferred for the



following relief:

(i) for issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction, especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents authority to not given priority to those applicants who have passed the law examination (theory + practical) of total marks 2800-3000 in the selection process of Nyay Mitra in the different gram panchayat under the block and district of Khagaria;

(ii) for issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to given priority to the petitioners and others who have passed their law examination as per the old syllabus for which only 1800 total marks was fixed for theory paper only to pass the Law Examination and there was no provisions of practical examination and marks.

3. It seems that with the passage of time, the petitioner has lost interest in the case. Accordingly, the writ application stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

