

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.574 of 2019
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7338 of 2015

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer-in-Chief, Department of PHED., Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Head Quarter, Department of PHED., Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer (Mechanical) Department of Public Health and Engineering Department, Government of Bihar, Bhagalpur.
5. The Superintending Engineer (Mechanical) Public Health Engineering Department, Circle Office Bhagalpur.
6. The Executive Engineer, (Mechanical), Public Health Engineering Department, Division Office, Bhagalpur.
7. The Executive Engineer, (Mechanical) Public Health Engineering Department, Division Office, Munger.
8. The Assistant Engineer (Mechanical), Public Health and Engineering Department, Sub-Division Office, Banka, District- Bhagalpur.
9. The Junior Engineer, (Mechanical), Public Health Engineering Department, Sub-Division, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. Sujit Kumar Son of Sri Jai Prakash Singh resident of village- Bari Kunjhar, P.S. Barari, District- Bhagalpur.
2. Ashwani Kumar Son of Kailash Yadav resident of village- Kharmanchak, P.S. Kotwali, District- Bhagalpur.
3. Babar Ali @ Md. Babar ali, Son of Late Asgar Ali, resident of village- Mayaganj, P.S. Barari, District- Bhagalpur.
4. Ram Prakash Ravidas Son of Sri Jatar Ravidas, resident of village- Kaura, P.S. Punpun, District- Patna.
5. Kamleshwari Prasad Yadav Son of Late Subak Lal Yadav, resident of village- Rajwar, P.S. Rajoun District- Banka.
6. Niraj Kumar Rajak Son of Sri Gopaljee Rajak resident of Karamini, P.S. Banunsi, District- Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, AC to AAG-5
For the Respondent/s :



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

7 21-02-2023 Heard Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, learned
advocate for the State.

We do not find any reason to entertain this
appeal for the reason that by the impugned
judgment, the State has been asked to decide the
case of the respondents, which has not yet been
decided.

Let the directions in the judgment dated
11.10.2018 be complied with as expeditiously as
possible.

We see no merit in this appeal and thus we
dismiss it.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

