

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36030 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-385 Year-2022 Thana- BUNIYAD GANJ District- Gaya

Rambabu Manjhi, S/O Karu Manjhi R/O Village- Manpur, Suraj Pokhar,
Bhuin Toli, P.S- Buniadganj, Distt.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Soni Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Buniadganj P.S. Case No. 385/2022, lodged on 19.11.2022
under Sections 379, 411 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
25(1-B)/26 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been
lodged against the present petitioner from whose possession the
arms have been recovered along with the other articles.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
other articles are basically mobile phone of the petitioner. He



further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2022 and apart from the present case, the petitioner is accused in seven other cases, but in all the cases, he has been granted bail. Moreover, the chargesheet has already been filed in this case.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that arms has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner has serious criminal antecedent.

6. Upon specific query from the counsel for the petitioner whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not aware about the fact whether the charge has been framed or not.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Buniadganj P.S. Case No. 385 of 2022, pending before the learned ACJM-VIII, Gaya, is hereby rejected.

9. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew the prayer for bail after framing of the charge. The trial Court is directed to release the petitioner on bail after framing of the charge by imposing its own condition so that the petitioner



may not evade appearance on the date fixed.

10. With this observation, the bail application stands disposed of.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

