

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2592 of 2018

1. Ganesh Prasad Yadav and Ors Son of late Jageshwar Prasad Yadav Resident of Village- Ghuthiya, Police Station- Banka, District- Banka.
2. Md. Naseem Khan Son of late Md. Pharukh Khan Resident of Village- Tajpur Kurra, Police Station- Dildarnagar, District- Gajipur U.P.
3. Kuldip Ram Son of Bhola Ram Resident of Village- Bihta, Police Station- Bihta, District- Patna.
4. Raju Tiwari Son of Mahendra Tiwari Resident of Village- Silari, Police Station- Nokha, District Rohtas.
5. Ajay Kumar Chakarwari Son of Awadh Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Manjhauli, Police Station- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna.
6. Ram Ekwah Sah Son of late Vishun Sah Resident of Village- Kharihaniya, Police Station- Dhaka, District- East Champaran.
7. Chandra Bhushan Singh Son of late Ganeshi Singh Resident of Village- Belbaniyan, Police Station- Garkha, District- Saran at Chapra.
8. Shambhu Mehara Son of late Tarani Mehara Resident of Village- Yogi Raj, Police Station- Puraini, District- Madhepura.
9. Harsh Singh Son of late Dan Singh Resident of Village- Badet, Police Station- Pithairagarh, District- Uttarkhand.
10. Jai Prakash Shukla Son of late Surya Narayan Resident of Village- Raice , Police Station- Pandarakh, District- Patna.
11. Anand Rai Son of late Nageshwar Rai Resident of Village- Jhalkhora, P.O. Sabar, Police Station- Karamchah, District- Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police Budget/Appeal/Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Under Secretary to the Government , Department of Home Police Bihar, Patna.
5. The Commandant, Mahila Shasstra Vahini, Sasaram.
6. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Nadeem Seraj, GP-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN



ORAL ORDER

4 28-11-2023 None appears on behalf of the petitioners. However,
learned counsel for the State is present.

2. On earlier occasion, i.e. on 19.09.2023, also none
had entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners when the
matter was adjourned for four weeks as a last chance.

3. In this background, it appears that the petitioners
have lost their interest in this case. As such, the present petition
stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

