

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.468 of 2021

=====

Madhuri Kumari D/o Anil Kumar Poddar, resident of Village - Simara, Post - Srikant, P.S. Piya, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank through its Zonal Manager, Aghoriya Bazaar, Muzaffarpur.
2. The Zonal Manager, Zonal office, Punjab National Bank, Aghoriya Bazar, Muzaffarpur, Dist. Muzaffarpur.
3. The Zonal Deputy Head, Punjab National Bank, Muzaffarpur Zone.
4. The regional Manager, Regional Office, Punjab National Bank, Muzaffarpur, Dist. Muzaffarpur.
5. The Dy. Circle Head, Punjab National Bank, Muzaffarpur, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.
6. Savita Kumari, W/o Guddu Kumar Choudhary, resident of Village - Madhopur, Dist. Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bijay Shankar Choubey, Adv
For the Bank	:	Mr.Suresh Pd. Singh No.1,Adv
For the Res. No. 6	:	Ms.Kumari Rashmi, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

7 11-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Bank and learned counsel for the respondent no.6.

2. Petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to appoint her as a peon. Petitioner had applied pursuant to an advertisement dated 15.11.2019, final select list dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure 5) was also issued nearly one year prior to filing of the present writ petition.

3. The specific stand of the respondents in the



counter affidavit which have duly been served on the petitioner's counsel is that the petitioner's requisite qualification i.e., Matriculation is claimed from the Central Board of Higher Education, which is not a recognized Board. The stand is not denied or disputed by filing any rejoinder or reply to the counter affidavit.

4. The learned counsel for the Bank has drawn attention of the Court also towards Annexure R/2 Series, to submit that under similar circumstances when degree was submitted from similar bodies having no recognition in the eyes of law, it has been held that the candidature of such persons cannot derive any sustenance from such certificates.

5. The facts being not in dispute this Court find that no case is made out in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

uttam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

