

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2897 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12619 of 2015

-
-
1. Vijay Kumar Gupta, S/o late Gopal Sao, Resident of village- Deodharpur, Tekari, Ward No. 13, (New), P.S.- Tekari, District- Gaya.
 2. Subhash Yadav @ Subhash Kumar Kaushal, S/o late Krishna Prasad, Resident of village- Jai Nandan Bigha, P.S.- Tekari, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Smt. Usha Sharma, Director General Archaeological Survey Department, Government of India, New Delhi.
3. Mr. V.N. Prabhakar, the Superintendent, Archaeological, Government of India, Bihar Range, Patna.
4. Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh, the Chief Secretary of Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Chaitanya Prasad, the Secretary, Department of Art, Culture and Youth Work (Archaeological Directorate), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. Mr. Atul Kumar Verma, the Director cum Deputy Secretary, Art, Culture and Youth Work, (Archaeological Directorate), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. Mr. Kumar Ravi, the District Magistrate, Gaya.
8. Ms. Garima Malik, the Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
9. Mr. Raj Kumar Sinha, the Additional Collector, Gaya.
10. Mr. Manoj Kumar, the Sub Divisional Officer, Tekari, Gaya.
11. Mr. Manish Kumar Sinha, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
12. Ms. Anita Bharti, the Circle Officer, Tekari, Gaya.
13. Mr. Umesh Chandra, the Station House Officer, Tekari, P.S. Gaya.
14. Mr Ahsan Ahmad, the Nagar Panchayat, Tekari Gaya through its Executive Officer.
15. Mr. Kaushal Kishore Sharma, S/o Ram Briksh Singh, Resident of Village/Mohalla- Tekari, P.S.-- Tekari, District Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s



Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lalmani Sharma, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 13-04-2023

We have heard Mr. Lalmani Sharma for the petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar for the State.

2. No case for contempt has been made out on behalf of the petitioner.

3. In a Public Interest Litigation in C.W.J.C. No. 12619 of 2015, an issue was raised with respect to preservation of a heritage site known as Tekari Estate Fort.

4. The Bench hearing the matter found that the heritage site as well as the structure was being maintained and the water-body in the premises was also well preserved. The petitioner was asked to liaise with the Archaeological Department for any further direction



with regard to maintenance. No direction has been issued in the aforesaid order; rather the Bench was satisfied with the maintenance of the Tekari Estate Fort and its surrounding.

5. There is no merit in this petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	17/04/2023
Transmission Date	N/A

