

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1377 of 2020**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16229 of 2018**

=====

Ranjit Kumar Yadav Son of Late Aseshwar Yadav Resident of Village-Bisfi  
Tola Gobrahi tol, Police Station-Bisfi, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar thorough Sri Amarjit Sinha, The Principal Secretary, Department of Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Amarjit Sinha Son of not Known to the Petitioner The Principal Secretary Department of Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Dr. Tyagrajan S.M. Son of not Known to the Petitioner The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
4. Dr Mahesh Prasad Singh Son of not Known to the Petitioner The District Education Officer, Darbhanga.
5. Sri Vijay Chandra Bhagat Son of not Known to the Petitioner The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Darbhanga.
6. Sri Rameshwar Dwivedi Son of not Known to the Petitioner The Block Education Officer, Keoti, District-Darbhangha.
7. Sri Ram Dayal Paswan Son of not Known to the Petitioner The Headmaster Primary School Bagaha Keoti, P.S.-Keoti, District-Darbhangha.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                       |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Jagdish Prasad Singh, Advocate<br>Mr. Ranjit Kumar Yadav, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG-13                                 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

7      15-12-2023                      In compliance of the order of this Court dated 11.03.2019, passed in CWJC No.16229 of 2018, the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Darbhanga has passed a reasoned order dated 30.08.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

2.              In such view of the matter, the learned



counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to challenge the aforesaid order dated 30.08.2022.

Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The contempt petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

kanchan/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

