

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36226 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-312 Year-2022 Thana- SIMRI BAKHTIYARPUR District-
Saharsa

-
1. SAMEENA TALAT W/O SAQUIB MANZAR R/O Ward No. 2, Village and Post- Paharpur, P.S- Bakhityarpur, Distt.- Saharsa, Bihar- 852127.
 2. Faizur Rahman @ Md. Faizi S/O Late Md. Hifzur Rahman R/O Ward No. 10, Village- Mobarakpur, P.S- Salkhua, Distt.- Saharsa, Bihar- 852127.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madhura Nand Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 11-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with
Bakhtiyarpur (Saharsa) P.S. Case No.312/2022, registered for
the offence punishable u/s 406, 504, 506 and 34 of the IPC and
sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners are involved
in breaking the marriage alliance of the daughter of the
informant. It is further alleged by the victim that, she had went
to the house of the petitioners, where petitioner no.1 served
some food to her, eating which, she became unconscious and
petitioner no.2 who was sitting there, made her porn videos.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The petitioners have no concern with the informant or his daughter. There is no video clipping as alleged by the prosecution nor there appear any transaction or entrustment to commit any offence. They have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that the victim has supported the prosecution case in her statement recorded u/s 164 Cr.P.C. and alleged that the petitioners by making her senseless made her obscene video.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the statement of the victim u/s 164 Cr.P.C., I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on their behalf is hereby rejected.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

