

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8880 of 2020

=====

Satyendra Prasad Son of Chhotan Mahto resident of Mohalla- New Area,
Nawada, Police Station- Nawada Town in the district of Nawada.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social Securities, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
5. The District Magistrate-cum- Collector, Nawada.
6. The Deputy Collector (Establishment), Nawada.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.AC to AG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

12 20-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

This writ application has been filed for the following
reliefs:-

“I. To quash the order contained in Memo
No. 378 dated 26.08.2020 issued under the
signature of respondent no. 6 by which the
petitioner has been transferred from the
Govindpur Block to the office of District Social
Security Cell, Nawada to the post of Accounts
Clerk (Social Securities).

II. Also the direct the respondents to allow
the petitioner to work as Accounts Clerk (Social
Securities) in Govindpur Block in the district of



Nawada.

III. Also for any other relief/reliefs for which
the petitioner is found in the eye of law.”

It appears that the petitioner was transferred from the Govindpur Block to the office of District Social Security Cell, Nawada in the same district. He had a grievance that his transfer was done within a short span of time from one office to another office which is in violation of the guidelines of the government.

At this stage, the fact is that the petitioner has already served at the transferred place for more than two and half years and his next transfer will be due within few months.

To this Court, therefore, it appears that this writ application has lost its efficacy by efflux of time and any discussion at this stage, would be only in the nature of academic discussion which is not required to be done.

This writ application is being disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise his grievance, in case, in future any such occasion arises.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

tusharika/-

U			
---	--	--	--

