

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3820 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19803 of 2014

-
-
1. Kumari Hemlata Verma, wife of Late Jay Prakash Prasad, resident of Sorangpur, Yadav Nagar, Near Goriaya Asthan, Post Office- Jaganpura (Khemnichak), Police Station- Ram Krishna Nagar, District- Patna.
 2. Prabhu Prasad, son of Latan Ravidas, resident of Karmat and Post Office- Kadhar, Police Station- Kawakod, District- Nawada.
 3. Kumari Usha, daughter of Ramdeo Prasad, resident of village and post office- Pilchia Via Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
 4. Mahendra Prasad, son of Late Deonandan Prasad, resident of village Kaushik Nagar, Post Office- Mai Via Hilsa, Police Station- Hilsa, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through R. K. Mahajan, its Secretary, Department Of Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. R.K. Mahajan, The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Department of Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Rajiv Prasad Singh Ranjan, The Director, Secondary Education, Budh Marg, Patna - 1.
4. The Bihar State Staff Selection Commission, Bihar, Patna through Sanjeev Suman, its Secretary, Near Veterinary College Campus, Patna.
5. Sanjeev Suman, The Secretary, Bihar State Staff Selection Commission, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the B.S.S.C.	:	Mr. Madanjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Vinay Pd. Singh, A.C. to G.A. XII.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 19-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the opposite parties.

2. In pursuance of the order dated 01.11.2017, passed
in C.W.J.C. No. 19803 of 2014, the opposite parties have



already passed an order vide Gyapank:-2/Ni Ko-28/2013-786 dated 21.04.2022.

3. Considering the fact that in pursuance of the order dated 01.11.2017, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 19803 of 2014, the order has already been passed, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt application.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners shall be at liberty to challenge the order dated 21.04.2022, if so advised.

5. With the aforesaid liberty, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

