

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13893 of 2021

=====

Amar Nath Jha Son of Late Asharfi Jha, Resident of Village-Taktar, P.O.-
Madhupur, P.S.-Singhwara, District-Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Registrar, Cooperative Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State Cooperative Land Development bank, Budh Marg, Patna.
3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Cooperative Land Development Bank, Budh Marg, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar State Cooperative Land Development Bank, Patna.
5. The Regional Manager, Bihar State Cooperative Land Development Bank, Darbhanga.
6. The Branch Manager, Land Development Bank, Kishganiganj.
7. The Branch Manager, Land Development Bank, Kamtaul, Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sunil Kumar Karn, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Manoj Kr. Ambastha (SC-26)
		Mr. Subodh Kumar, AC to SC-26
For the L.D. Bank	:	Mr. Rajesh Prasad Choudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-12-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1) (i) That by way of this writ
application petitioner seeks
indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for
issuance of appropriate
writ/order/direction in the nature of
Certiorari commanding the
respondents to quash the order*



dated 3.12.2009 issued by the Managing Director, Bihar State Land Development Bank (hereinafter called Bank), Patna whereby in conclusion of departmental proceeding the petitioner has been inflicted with punishment of deduction of Rs.77,444/- (Rupees Seventy seven thousand four hundred and forty four) from the amount of Gratuity and Leave encashment of the petitioner.

(ii) Further to quash the appellate order contained in memo no.1808 dated 16.5.2018 issued by the Chairman-cum-Appellate Authority inter State Land Development Bank Ltd. Bihar (Patna)/ Jharkhand, whereby the order passed by the disciplinary authority has been affirmed with minor modification that the payable amount shall be paid to the petitioner as per seniority list.

(iii) Further to issue direction in nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to make payment of retiral dues/benefits with up to date admissible rate of interest.”



2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

