

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34756 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2022 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Vaishali

Ravish Kumar Son of Chandrasekhar Singh Resident of Mohalla - Yusufpur
(Near Jagdamba Sthan), P.S.- Industrial Area, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 25-01-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of four weeks from today.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 376, 384, 388, 506 and 509
of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 66(E) of the I.T. Act.

According to prosecution case, the petitioner, namely,
Ravish Kumar was giving tutions to the children of the
informant and in that course he managed to obtain the pictures
of informant while taking bath in the washroom and started
blackmailing her on threat of making the pictures viral. The
petitioner has obtained about Rs. 2,00,000/- from her and
demanding more.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the informant took huge amount from him in the pretext of love and when the petitioner demanded the amount, she filed the false and fabricated submissions. He further submits that the police has not investigated the mobile phones which was produced before the police by the petitioner. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2022.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. in which she has supported the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with STR No. 213 of 2022 arising out of Mahila P.S. Case No. 02 of 2022 pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-1, Vaishali.

Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

