

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8908 of 2023

=====

Ashish Kumar Agarwal Son of Shri Bharat Kumar Agarwal, Resident of Village- Purani Bazar, Ram Nagar, Ward No. 10, Post Office and Police Station- Ramnagar, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Revenue Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, West Champaran at Bettiah.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bagaha, West Champaran.
4. The Circle Officer, Block- Ramnagar, District- West Champaran.
5. Ramesh Kumar Kedia Son of Late Pyarelal Kedia, Resident of Village- Purani Bazar, Ram Nagar, Ward No. 10, Post Office and Police Station- Ramnagar, District- West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anant Kumar Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Roy (Gp 18)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-09-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For issuance of appropriate writ /writs, order/orders, direction/directions to quash the notice dated 02.05.2023 contained in Memo No.194 issued under the Signature of Circle Officer, Ramnagar West Champaran (Respondent No.4) whereby and whereunder the petitioner was directed to vacate the shop and hand over the same to respondent No.5.

(ii). To direct the respondent authorities not to dispossess the petitioner from the shop, appertaining to Khata No.25, Khesra No.372,



Thana No.619 situated in village – Nurainapur during the pendency of this writ application.”

2. The learned counsel for the Respondent-State seeks to file a counter affidavit, which is taken on record, wherein it has been stated that the impugned order dated 23.11.2020, passed by the Circle Officer, Ramnagar, directing the petitioner to hand over the possession of the shop in question to the Respondent No. 5 has been recalled, hence, nothing survives for consideration in the present case.
3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has not disputed the aforesaid factual situation, as has been averred by the learned counsel for the Respondent-State and submits that now, the petitioner is not having any subsisting grievances.
4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

