

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13759 of 2021

Daya Shankar Singh Son of Sri Ram Kripal Yadav @ Ram Kripal Singh
Resident of village Mohanpur, P.O. Kamrawn, P.S. - Basudeo Dihri, P.S.
Dinara, District- Rohtas (Sasaram).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Personnel and Administrative Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Personnel and Administrative Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate-Cum-Chairman, District Compassionate Appointment Committee, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The District Screening Committee for Compassionate Appointment, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bikramganj, Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shekhar Singh, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Dhurendra Kumar, AC to GP-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2023 On mentioning slip being filed for priority listing,

the matter has been listed today under the heading 'To Be
Mentioned'.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

3. The petitioner's claim appointment by virtue of
being son of the *chowkidar*. The claim for selection is sought
under Rule- 5 (7) of the Bihar Chowkidar Cadre (Amedment)
Rules, 2014 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 2014 Rules').

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that the



petitioner's claim cannot be entertained now in view of decision of this Court dated 25-02-2023 passed in ***L.P.A. No. 508/2022 (Devmuni Paswan vs. State of Bihar & Ors.)*** whereby and whereunder Rule- 5(7) of the Rules has been held to be ultra vires of Article 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India and set aside.

5. Reliance is placed on paragraph No. 17-20 of the judgment, which reads as follows:-

"17. Hence, this Court is of the view that the proviso to Rule 5 (7) of '2014, Rules' which reads as under:

"परंतुक-

(क) चौकीदार संवर्ग के कर्मचारी अपनी वार्धक्य सेवानिवृत्ति की तिथि से कम से कम एक माह पूर्व के प्रभाव से स्वैच्छिक सेवानिवृत्ति एवं चौकीदार पद पर अपने द्वारा नामित किसी आश्रित को नियोजित करने के लिए आवेदन कर सकेगा।

(ख) सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग द्वारा समय-समय पर अवधारित न्यूनतम एवं अधिकतम उम्र सीमा संबंधी प्रावधान उन पर लागू रहेगा।

(ग) स्वैच्छिक सेवानिवृत्ति के पश्चात् नियुक्त व्यक्ति के आश्रित को इस परन्तुक का लाभ अनुमान्य नहीं होगा।

(घ) स्वैच्छिक सेवानिवृत्ति का इच्छुक चौकीदार संवर्ग के व्यक्ति को सेवानिवृत्ति की अपनी इच्छित तिथि से कम से कम एक माह पूर्व अपने पदस्थापन जिला के जिला पदाधिकारी का अपना आवेदन देना होगा।"

is contrary to the express provisions of the



Constitution being violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution and, accordingly, the aforementioned provision is set aside. Hence it could not further the cause of the appellant and the appellant could not claim any benefit under Rule 5 (7) of '2014, Rules'.

18. Accordingly, this Court finds and holds that the respondents cannot be directed at this stage to reconsider the application of the father of the appellant for grant of such benefit of employment of his ward in accordance with the provisions of '2014 Rules'.

19. In the light of discussion made hereinabove and under the facts and circumstances of the case, the present Letters Patent Appeal is dismissed.

20. Let a copy of this judgment be forwarded to the concerned authority through the Registrar General for taking further steps in identical issue, if any.”

6. This Court would find that in view of the judgment of the Division Bench, the relief claimed by the petitioner relying on Rule- 5(7) of the 2014 Rules, which has been declared ultra vires, is not tenable.

7. The writ application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

