

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15424 of 2018

=====

Mahabir Singh son of- Late Lakhan Singh, resident of- Mirganj Hathua More,
P.S.- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
- 1.1. The Superintending Engineer, Bihar Rajya Jal Parsad, Ganga Pariyojna, Anchal- 2, West Boring Kenal Road, Patna- 800001.
2. The Executive Engineer, District Town Development Department, Gopalganj.
3. The Executive Officer, Nagar Panchayat Mirganj, P.S.- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
4. Ejajul Haque Khan, Son of- Not Known, resident of Village- Khan Bairea, Jagannatha, P.S.- Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Yogesh Kumar AC to SC-9
For Respondent No. 1	:	Mr. Indrajeet Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

2 23-03-2023 In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for
following reliefs:-

"1. That by way of this writ petition the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for issuance of appropriate writ/ writs, order/ orders, direction/ directions, for the following relief/ reliefs"-

I. For quashing of the work order given by the Superintending Engineer Bihar Rajya Jal Parsad, Ganga Pariyojna, Anchal-2 to the Respondent No. 04 Whereby and where under the



Respondent no. -01 has illegally without any pledging (qualify the technical bit) arbitrarily and illegally allotted to the respondent no. 4 and disqualify the petitioner on the wrong ground with knowingly that Petitioner suitable bidder qualify all the criteria as per requirement in the tender notice no 01/2017-18, but the tender/ Work order has been allotted to the Respondent on 04 illegally.

II. For quashing of the entire tender notice which is based on favoritism and nepotism whereby and where under the petitioner is the suitable bidder in this tender fulfilling all the requisite criteria, vide notice no 01/2017-18, but the authority concerned with bias manner disqualify the petitioner and allotted work order to the respondent no. 4, which is not leg in the eye of law.

III. For any other relief/ relief's for which the petitioner is entitled for."

In the absence of any interim order, 4th Respondent has already executed the work allotted to him. Therefore, the present petition does not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as it has become infructuous.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

rakhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

