

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8181 of 2008

NAMUNA DEVI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Additional Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
3. Additional Collector, Kaimur (Bhabhua).
4. Deputy Collector Land Reforms Bhabha Kaimur.
5. Kashi Singh, R/o Village Amaon, P.S. Chainpur, District- Kaimur Bhabhua.
6. Birendra Seth, Son of Deo Shankar Seth. R/o Mohalla Bhabhua Ward No. 10, P.S. Bhabhua, Distt.- Kaimur Bhabhua.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3272 of 2017

Namuna Devi W/o Sri Ram Nagina Singh, R/o Village Amaon, P.S. Chainpur,
District- Kaimur Bhabhua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Additional Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
3. Additional Collector, Kaimur Bhabhua.
4. Deputy Collector Land Reforms Bhabha Kaimur.
5. Bajrang Bahadur Singh @ Tej Bahadur Singh, S/o Kashi Singh, R/o Village Amaon, P.S. Chainpur, District- Kaimur Bhabhua.
6. Birendra Seth, Son of Deo Shankar Seth. R/o Mohalla Bhabhua Ward No. 10, P.S. Bhabhua, Distt.- Kaimur Bhabhua.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8181 of 2008)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rewti Kant Raman, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. R.C.Thakur, SC 4

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3272 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG 12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

8 13-03-2023

Heard learned counsel for the parties.



In the instant application, the petitioners have prayed for the following reliefs:

“1. That this application is for quashing the resolution dated 10.1.08 resolved by the Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna in Ceiling Revision No. 245 of 2004 contained in Annexure 4 and restoration of the order dated 21.9.04 passed by the Additional Collector, Kaimur in Ceiling Appeal no. 3 of 01/50 of 03-04 contained in Annexure 3. and also for restoration of the order dated 10.8.01 passed by Deputy Collector Land Reforms Bhabhua Kaimur in land ceiling (preemption) case no. 1 of 01-02 whereby respondent no.4 has allowed the preemption application filed by the petitioner.”

At the outset it is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents-State that in view of the Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019 read with judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **Punyadeo Sharma and Ors. Versus Kamla Devi and Ors.** reported in **2022(1) BLJ 434 (SC)**, the instant application which arises out of pre-emption application stands abated.

Relevant paragraphs of the aforesaid judgment in the case of **Punyadeo Sharma** (supra) is quoted hereinbelow:



“4. The question examined by the Division Bench of the High Court was whether an application for pre-emption was filed within three months of the registration as required by Section 16(3) of the Act or was it required to be filed within three months of the day of execution of the sale deed i.e. 9.2.1990. However, the said question does not survive for consideration in view of the subsequent development whereby the right of pre-emption itself has been taken away by the Bihar Act No. 6 of 2019 when the Act was amended. The Amending Act reads thus:

“The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019

1. Short title, Extent and Commencement. – (1)

This Act may be called The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) (Amendment) Act, 2019.

(2) It shall extend to the whole of the State of Bihar.

(3) It shall come into force immediately.

2. Amendment in Section 16 of the Act, 1961. –

(1) Sub Section (3) of Section-16 of the said Act is hereby repealed.

(2) In the Section-16 of the said Act, the



following new sub section-(4) shall be added:-

(4)(i) After the repeal of sub section-(3) of Section-16 of this Act, all cases or proceedings pending before the State Government, the Board of Revenue, the Bihar Land Tribunal, the Divisional Commissioner, the Collector, the Additional Collector, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms or in any other Court, shall be deemed to be abated.

(ii) Pursuant to the repeal of Sub section-(3) of Section-16 of this Act, any purchase money together with a sum equal to 10% thereof, already legally deposited shall be refunded, without any interest, to the depositor.

.....

7. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and find that the right of pre-emption, after the Amending Act, abates as Sub-section 4(i) is specifically dealing with all pending proceedings before whatsoever forum. Therefore, the right of pre-emption will stand abated on and after 25.2.2019 including the proceedings which were pending before any forum.

.....

12. Any other Court is wide enough to include the Constitutional Courts i.e. the High



Court and the Supreme Court.

Thus, keeping in view the object of the Statute, purpose to be achieved and the express language of the Amending Act, all proceedings of pre-emption under the Act pending before any authority under the Act or before any Court shall stand abated.

13. Consequently, the present appeals are allowed. The entire pre-emption proceedings stand abated. It shall be open to the respondents to withdraw 10% of the amount deposited by them in terms of Section 16 of the Act in accordance with law.” (Emphasis Supplied)

In view of the above, it is ordered that the instant application stands abated.

It is further directed that in terms of the aforesaid order, it shall be open to the pre-emptor herein to withdraw the amount deposited by him in terms of section 16 of the Act in accordance with law.

The application stands disposed of as having abated.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

