

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34033 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-444 Year-2021 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

PURAN DAS S/o Naresh Das R/o Village - Deodha, P.S. Pakaribarawan,
District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

8 13-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State along with the learned counsel for the
informant.

The informant alleges that his daughter was married with the petitioner four years ago, further, his daughter had three children from before and the petitioner was also married, it is next alleged that after marriage, a son was born to the victim at her parental home, it is next alleged that on 29.10.2021, the petitioner called his brother-in-law and asked him to send the victim to Rajgir for some urgent purpose all alone and also disclosed that his mobile at Rajgir would be switched off, it is next alleged that thereafter, the victim went to Rajgir, further, at 8:00 pm, the informant called the petitioner to know about the victim when he said that he did not meet her, accordingly, the



informant informed the police on 01.11.2021 about missing of his daughter, thereafter, her body was recovered on 03.11.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of two cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that the petitioner was in Delhi on 29.10.2021 and from Delhi he had made a call on the phone of his brother-in-law and talked to his wife and had called her to Rajgir, it is further submitted that aspect has not been investigated by the Investigating Officer.

Learned A.P.P. for the State along with the learned counsel for the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner and the learned counsel for the informant submits that the marriage was only four years old and the victim was being tortured for dowry, it is next submitted that the learned counsel for the petitioner has only taken a plea of alibi. It is further submitted that the petitioner after killing his wife even killed his one month old son for which an FIR has already been instituted by the present informant.

Considering the submissions, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



**Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail to the
petitioner stands rejected.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

HarshPandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

