

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2003 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17258 of 2016

=====

Sakuntala Devi wife of Madan Das resident of village Gajaragadh, P.O. P.S. Anchal Barachatti, District Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sri Anjani Kumar Singh son of not known to the petitioner The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Vivek Kumar Singh son of not known to the petitioner the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Kumar Ravi son of not known to the petitioner the District Magistrate-cum-District Officer, Gaya.
5. Sri Jyoti Kumar son of not known to the petitioner the Sub Divisional Officer, Sherghati, Gaya.
6. Sri Ravi Shankar son of not known to the petitioner the Circle Officer, Barachatti, District Gaya.
7. Samta Devi wife of Nawal Kishore Lal presently Member of Bihar Legislative Assembly under Barachatti Legislature, Bihar, Patna.
8. Nawal Kishore Lal son of Banwari Lal Both are residents of Village Gajaragadh, P.O. P.S. Anchal Barachatti, District Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

11 10-08-2023 Heard the parties.

The present MJC petition has been filed for the compliance of the order dated 25.11.2016 passed in CWJC No. 17258 of 2016.

The writ petition was disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities who shall



consider the same and bring the proceeding to its logical conclusion.

The matter relates to removal of encroachment, the opposite party nos. 4 to 6 which includes the District Magistrate-cum-District Officer, Gaya, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sherghatti, Gaya and the Circle Officer, Barachatti, Gaya have filed show cause and as per paragraph -2, there is no encroachment over the existed '*pind*' and admittedly, the area of '*pind*' is still open and as such, there is no question of a new construction of '*pind*' by any one.

This Court is convinced that the opposite parties have complied the order. If the petitioner still has any grievance, he can approach the authorities afresh.

The MJC No. 2003 of 2017 stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Neha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

